

Amendment to Pa controls.	rramatta LEP 2011- AE2 Ermi	ngton Superlots. Increas	ed height and FSR
Proposal Title :	Amendment to Parramatta LE controls.	P 2011- AE2 Ermington Super	lots. Increased height and FSR
Proposal Summar	Lots 301-305 and from 13m to ratio (FSR) from 0.6:1 to 2.5:1	28.2m for Lot 306. In addition for Lots 301-305 and from 0.6	ullding height from 13m to 19m for a, an increase in the floor space :1 to 3:1 for Lot 306. The site is 011 and the R4 zone is to remain in
PP Number :	PP_2013_PARRA_007_00	Dop File No :	13/13059
Proposal Details		an Manada Marta Marta a sa	**************************************
Date Planning Proposal Received	27-Aug-2013 d :	LGA covered :	Parramatta
Region :	Sydney Region West	RPA :	Parramatta City Council
State Electorate :	PARRAMATTA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street :	Seamist Avenue		
Suburb :	Ermington City :	Parramatta	Postcode : 2115
Land Parcel :	Lots 301-306 DP 1175644		
DoP Planning O	fficer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Michael Druce		
Contact Number :	0298601544		
Contact Email :	michael.druce@planning.nsw.g	jov.au	
RPA Contact De	tails		
Contact Name :	Joel Carson		
Contact Number :	0298065144		
Contact Email :	jcarson@parramatta.nsw.gov.a	u	
DoP Project Mar	nager Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Terry Doran		
Contact Number :	0298601149		
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.	au	
Land Release Da	ata		
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	N/A

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	6	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	555
Gross Floor Area :	55,694.00	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	No		
lf No, comment :	CODE OF CONDUCT At this point in time, to the best of is compliant with the Department relation to communications and m	of Planning and Infrastructure	
	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOS The political donation disclosure I requires public disclosure of dona planning system.	aws commenced on 1 Octobe	-
	The disclosure requirements unde relevant planning applications and		
	The term relevant planning applica "A formal request to the Minister, a an environmental planning instrum	a council or the Director Gene	ral to initiate the making of
	Planning Circular PS08-009 specif Minister or Director General is req any).		
	No disclosures were provided for t	this planning proposal.	
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Further information was sought fro the department with a report on 27 Masterplan for the Naval Stores Si 1999. The date of receipt for the pl	August 2013 on the traffic im te at Ermington for residential	plications of the
	The planning proposal indicates the significant and the planning team in that a revised DCP be exhibited at matter to be adequately addressed	recommends, as a condition of the same time as the planning	of a Gateway determination,
	It is also recommended as a condi- report be prepared which determin arrangements will satisfactory mea necessary, the study indicates suit	es whether current road and one the needs generated by the	other transport proposal and, if

controls.		
External Supporting Notes :	former Ermington Nava	ies of six (6) individual lots (described as lots 301-306) within the I Stores site. These are known as the 'AE2 Ermington Superlots' he water front of the former Naval Stores site.
	The subject site is zone	d R4 High Density Residential under Parramatta LEP 2011.
	the then Minister for Pla capacity of the former N this capacity. These cor	alia prepared the 'Ermington Masterplan', which was approved by mning in April 2002. The Masterplan established the development laval Stores site and provided a set of planning controls to achieve ntrols for the entire Naval Stores site of 19.64 ha, allowed a I, of 117 840 sqm of floor space.
	-	s 301-306 (the subject site) represents the final development stage former naval stores site.
	masterplan have not ful constructed - 18 071 squ that development of the area of approximately 55	cates that two previously approved development stages under the ly taken up the envisaged total floor space (i.e. stage 1 - m and stage 2 - approved - 39 903 sqm). Further, council indicates remaining superlots (i.e. the subject site) as proposed (i.e. a floor 5 694 sqm) combined with the approved development sites, would visaged 117 840 sqm of floor space area.
Adaguaay Accocomo		
Adequacy Assessme	nt	
Statement of the ob	ojectives - s55(2)(a)	
ls a statement of the ol	bjectives provided? Yes	
Comment :	Environmental Plan 2	the planning proposal is to amend the Parramatta Local 2011 to allow for greater height and floor space ratio controls on part tores site at Ermington, known as the AE2 Ermington Superlots.
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55	(2)(b)
Is an explanation of pro	ovisions provided? Yes	
Comment :	The explanation of pr	ovisions is clear and well structured.
	The explanation addr	esses:
	•	mum height controls for Lots 301-305 from 13 metres to 19 06, amending the maximum building height from 13 metres to
	-	space ratio controls for Lots 301-305 from 0.6:1 to 2.5:1 Ending the floor space ratio from 0.6:1 to 3:1.
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strate	gy been agreed to by the D	birector General? No
b) S.117 directions ider	ntified by RPA :	3.1 Residential Zones
* May need the Directo	r General's agreement	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Is the Director Gene	eral's agreement required?	No
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?	SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

	SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	
Have inconsistencies w	vith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	The planning proposal has addressed all the ministerial directions applicable to the site, along with the relevant SEPPs.
Mapping Provided -	- s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided?	Yes
Comment :	The maps provided are adequate for exhibition purposes.
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consult	tation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	The planning proposal seeks to provide a community consultation period for a minimum of 14 days. As the proposal seeks a significant change to the controls applying to the site and may generate significant community interest, it is recommended that the planning proposal be exhibited for 28 days.
Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any additiona	I Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy of	f the proposal
Does the proposal mee	t the adequacy criteria? Yes
lf No, comment :	The objectives, explanation of provisions, justification and mapping presented in the planning proposal are clear and sufficient.
	All relevant ministerial directions and SEPPs have been identified.
	The planning proposal is not inconsistent with the relevant strategic planning frameworks including: the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036, the draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2031 and the Parramatta 2038 Community Strategic Plan, as outlined in the planning proposal.
Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Parramatta Principal LEP was made in November 2011.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	Council officers in Ilaison with representatives of the land owner conducted an investigation of the subject site and concluded that the Maximum Building Helght and Floor Space Ratio controls may be increased for Lots 301, 302, 303, 304, 305 and 306.
	It is indicated that existing utilities servicing the site have the capacity to accommodate development permitted by the proposal. However, the planning proposal states that the

Amendment to Parramatta LEP 2011- AE2 Ermington Superlots. Increased height and FSR controls. local electricity provider will be consulted during the assessment of any future development application(s). **Regional Team Comment:** Infrastructure capacity may have changed since the land was originally zoned for residential purposes and consultation with service providers is recommended. In particular, upgraded road networks have provided alternative access to the site. Amending height and FSR controls will require a contemporary review of traffic movements for the area. The original traffic report, which assessed traffic implications for the site, was undertaken in October 1999 and an up-to-date traffic report is considered necessary to analyse traffic movements and capacity. Consistency with The planning proposal explains the relationship to the strategic planning framework, as strategic planning follows: framework : * The Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2036 and the draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2031 - Both strategies aim to provide an adequate supply of land for residential development and an increased supply of housing within close proximity to Parramatta as a regional city. The planning proposal will assist in enabling housing targets to be met in the Parramatta LGA and within the West Central Subregion. - Both the current and draft metropolitan plans seek to focus residential development within large centres and surrounding corridors with access to public transport. As the planning proposal will allow for an increased supply of housing, this will support transport linkages with Parramatta City, Sydney Olympic Park and the Rhodes specialised centre. * The Parramatta 2038 Community Strategic Plan - identifies the key challenges and opportunities for the Parramatta LGA, including planning for increased housing capacity, mix of housing types, improving the design quality of new development, the redevelopment of large properties and providing residential uses in proximity to public transport and facilities. The proposed amendments in the planning proposal are consistent with the community strategic plan in this regard. Environmental social a The planning proposal states that no threatened species, populations or ecological economic impacts : communities have been observed on the site. Overshadowing of the river foreshore lands and the Ermington Naval Stores site from the proposed buildings has been identified as a possible social concern in the planning proposal. Concept plans (see attachments to the planning proposal) indicate that proposed buildings on Lot 306 will decline from 8 storeys in the north-west to 4 storeys at the foreshore as a result of topography and to minimise overshadowing concerns of the open space/foreshore lands. Where Lots 301-305 directly adjoin the river foreshore, a 5 storey height limit is proposed to be applied. The planning proposal proposes further testing on the overshadowing impacts following

Amendment to Parramatta LEP 2011- AE2 Ermington Superlots. Increased height and FSR	
controls.	

the issue of the Gateway determination. Further, the planning proposal seeks to amend the Parramatta Development Control Plan 2011 to guide the development of built form on Lots 301-306 to address overshadowing concerns. **Regional Team Comments** The planning team notes the impacts of the built form of the proposed buildings near the river and their possible adverse impacts on the public space. Council's intention to further review this matter is supported. It is also recommended that an up-to-date traffic report be prepared and forms part of exhibition/consultation material. The current traffic report of October 1999, is clearly dated. For example, the study indicates that no access is provided to the site via Silverwater Road, However, access to the site is now available through Silverse Street via Silverwater Road. Further, a roundabout is present at the Boronia Street/Spurway Street Intersection, while the traffic report states 'consideration could be given to the installation of a roundabout at the intersection of Spurway and Boronia Streets' (page 22). It is evident that since the 1999 Traffic Report was prepared new road works have been constructed near the site and new residential development has been built near Broadoaks Street and Allura Crescent. For these reasons, the preparation and exhibition of a contemporary traffic report is recommended to support the proposal.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Department of Educa Transport for NSW Transport for NSW - Sydney Water Adjoining LGAs Other			
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional	studies, if required. :			
Other - provide details If Other, provide reasor				
Traffic - as discussed	above.			

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Cover letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
1999 Traffic Study.pdf	Study	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	Delegation to Council
	Council has agreed to accept the Minister's plan making powers. The matter is of local significance and it is recommended that the making of the LEP be delegated to Council, as requested.
	It is recommended that the Planning Proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to exhibition:
	 (a) a traffic study is undertaken updating the 1999 traffic study (Colston Budd Hunt & Kafes Pty Ltd - October 1999) and that revised study be placed on exhibition and made available for the purposes of consultation with Roads and Maritime Services; (b) Council's development control plan be amended in draft form to particularly address overshadowing issues on the site and this draft amendment forms part of the exhibition material with Council subsequently giving consideration to adopting this amendment prior to the making of the local environmental plan for the planning proposal.
	2. The Planning Proposal is to be exhibited for 28 days.
	 3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act: Sydney Water Transport for NSW Roads and Maritime Service Endeavour Energy Department of Education and Communities adjoining local councils.
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act.
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week

	following the date of the Gateway Determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported in-principle as it will make efficient use of the land with consideration being given to good design quality and in providing access to public transport and services.
	The planning proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with the current Sydney Metropolitan Strategy 2036 and the draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2031 as it seeks to increase the supply of housing within the Parramatta LGA and supports linkages with surrounding precincts.
Signature:	Alex